

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/725,632

Applicant(s)

SIGURJONSSON ET AL.

Examiner

Kim M. Lewis

Art Unit

3772

**All Participants:**

(1) Kim M. Lewis.

(2) Justin Cassell (attorney).

**Status of Application:** Non -Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 January 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss an election of one of the inventions in the application. Namely, Group I directed to the species having one facing layer and Group II directed to the embodiment having two cross-linked silicone layers. Applicant's attorney elected to prosecute the invention of Group I..